

College of Education Annual Assessment Review Checklist

Program SCED Med English/Language Arts Date of review 27 March 2013

1. Were program objectives and/or outcomes clearly stated and addressed in the report?

Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

2. Were the assessment methods (design) and measures (instruments) appropriate for assessing the program objectives and/or outcomes?

Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

Very linear and able to understand

3. Data analysis and results:

Were assessment data collected, analyzed, and results reported?

Yes Somewhat No

Were the assessment results linked to the learning outcomes?

Yes Somewhat No

Were the assessment results clearly stated?

Yes Somewhat No

Was the data analysis appropriate given the types of assessment methods utilized?

Yes Somewhat No

Were the conclusions reasonable given the findings reported?

Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

There was no apparent write up from the analysis ~ however this could be due to the fact that the analysis will change upon Spring 2013 graduation.

4. Use of results for decision making and reporting to program faculty and stakeholders:

Were the assessment results reviewed and discussed by program faculty?

Yes No

Were the assessment results communicated with other stakeholders (as appropriate)?

Yes No

Did the assessment results indicate a need for change?

Yes No - unsure

If the results indicated a need for change, what type of change(s) was(were) made?

- The intended learning outcomes were changed or revised based upon results.
- The assessment results were used by faculty to improve student learning.
- The results were used as basis for changes in courses, services, or curriculum.
- Assessment results were used in strategic planning.
- Other specific changes reported that should affect student learning.

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

OVERALL RATING:

- Exceeds Expectations (All expectations met, the reviewers had no questions, and the report shows clear evidence of using results for decision-making.)
- Meets Expectations (Most expectations met, the reviewers had few questions, and the report shows clear evidence of using results for decision-making.)
- Needs Revision (Few expectations met, the reviewers had some questions, and the report shows little evidence of using results for decision-making.)

OVERALL COMMENTS

Strengths:

Very linear and easy to make connections.

Suggestions for improvement/revision:

There was some lack of analysis due to NCATE, but also there was no transparency as to what was communicated to stakeholders.