

College of Education Annual Assessment Review Checklist

Program _____ MCED Graduate _____ Date of review _____ 3/20/13 _____

1. Were program objectives and/or outcomes clearly stated and addressed in the report?

X Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

The program has a clearly defined set of assessments aligned with the Association for Middle Level Education standards. Data collection is systematic, and the results have been used in programmatic decision-making.

2. Were the assessment methods (design) and measures (instruments) appropriate for assessing the program objectives and/or outcomes?

X Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

Each task instrument is aligned with AMLE standards, and candidate is aggregated.

3. Data analysis and results:

Were assessment data collected, analyzed, and results reported?

X Yes Somewhat No

Were the assessment results linked to the learning outcomes?

X Yes Somewhat No

Were the assessment results clearly stated?

X Yes Somewhat No

Was the data analysis appropriate given the types of assessment methods utilized?

X Yes Somewhat No

Were the conclusions reasonable given the findings reported?

X Yes Somewhat No

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

4. Use of results for decision making and reporting to program faculty and stakeholders:

Were the assessment results reviewed and discussed by program faculty?

Yes No

Were the assessment results communicated with other stakeholders (as appropriate)?

Yes No

Did the assessment results indicate a need for change?

Yes No

If the results indicated a need for change, what type of change(s) was(were) made?

- The intended learning outcomes were changed or revised based upon results.
- The assessment results were used by faculty to improve student learning.
- The results were used as basis for changes in courses, services, or curriculum.
- Assessment results were used in strategic planning.
- Other specific changes reported that should affect student learning.

Please comment on any strengths and/or areas for improvement:

OVERALL RATING:

Exceeds Expectations (All expectations met, the reviewers had no questions, and the report shows clear evidence of using results for decision-making.)

Meets Expectations (Most expectations met, the reviewers had few questions, and the report shows clear evidence of using results for decision-making.)

Needs Revision (Few expectations met, the reviewers had some questions, and the report shows little evidence of using results for decision-making.)

OVERALL COMMENTS

Strengths:

See above.

Suggestions for improvement/revision: